WD2239.B490.

[App.F.IX-4]

Previous References. 1923-24 (Mysore)

File No. 292 - 23

Serial Nos. 10 - 12.

Later references.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 10-12.

Part B for June 1924.

SUBJECT

Interpellation in regard Mun Dept Re Mysore matter

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Pl. 10.

D. 2287

Memo. of Jubbulpur Municipality

Ref. to the Municipality

X

My 4.6.1924

Under G.O. No. 1111-12 Dy 28.8.06. Ready money cash allowance of Rs 24-3-0 per mensem was ordered to be continued to this Mahal.

G.O. No. 3705-11 Dy 23.5.1919 fixes a Bitesha of Rs 75/ when the Swami of the Math happens to visit Bangalore.

Y

4.6.24

N B – Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gipsed, or slips pasted on this form

[G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

tions, etc., not intended for permanent record

M.11.

Interpellations.

From the Insp. Comm. M.12.
Letter no. C.1319 dt. 7-6-1924
Forwards replies to the
Interpellations.

The Insp. Comm. Swiss
has full information on
the several points.
No mention of this subject
made in the Demand Note
Of Directed. The interpellations and the reply to
Submit- each of them, will be typed
M.11 & 6.24 juxta-position and
Submit.

Notes must be typed, or slips pasted on this form.

Put up at once a
Copy for Darjeeling
Tour note.
8/24



Dewars time notes
has been sent
to the Brit. Rev
as the man to
criticise

copy of Dewars time-note
sent

G R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

First Provincial Tour of the Deman in Aug. 1922).

A copy is put up.

A representation was made for enhanced grant to keep up services in the cantons and the Deman said that he will consider the question. If directed, reps. may be called for from Head quarters & the matter should be brought up on the 12th.

10 6 22

On pages 59, 60 of the Town notes, the address of the Princes mat to the Deman & the Answers are published. The Secretary of State was that a grant ~~for the~~ in connection of the increasing Expenses in the matter might be sanctioned. And the Deman was pleased to say that the question of a grant for the matter was under

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gumed, or slips pasted on this form

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

him and that it would
be very difficult for him
to make any statement
without papers dealing with
the past history of the
matter. No definite
promise was made to
look into the question
nor was the subject
referred to the staff
of subjects to be attended to
printed on pp 81 & 86
so the subject of the grant
to the matt was not considered
or discussed in Office.

Re the replies to the
interpellation given by the
Navy Comm may stand

Suggestion that notes be written on small slips
and notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

in reference to (a) & (b)

Boston Respectfully

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

The reply maybe as follows :—

(C) Yes, it was mentioned in an address presented to the Governor who replied that he could not make any statement in the matter without looking into the past history of the matter and the Govt papers

As there was no separate application on the subject the matter could not be pursued further..

BG
18/6/24

A short reply is sent up in appl

15.6.24

Rec. Secy

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gipsed, or slips pasted on this form

30/166

16/6/24

ROUTINE NOTES.

[For use of Secretariat, Local Governments, Departments, etc., in recording routine notes of permanent record.]

Mun file no 496 of 1905-06,
dealing with the stoppage
of allowance of the Ready money
grant referred to in ~~last~~ the
Mun file I will obtain the
Register of Ready money grants
on return to Headquarters &
send it with Secy. So as
to reach them on the
28th inst.

17 6 24

Rec Secy)

PC will keep them with
him and put it
up on receipt of
the Regr

28/6

the Regr of Ready
money grants is put up

(PC D)

20 6 24

Rec-Secy)

28/6

(Enclosures) Minute slips

Minutes must be typed, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3

ROUTINE NOTES.

be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes of instructions, etc., not intended to p



the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Dear Ramanna,

I am herewith
sending back the file of
Interpellation in the Legislative Council
about Nargi Mall. It is stated
in the note that the matter was not
under the Darwan days
his Dom. But a reference is made
to the matter in the Dam note in
pages 59–60. I send the file to
Headquarters for notice. What action
has been taken on this. This matter
is mentioned in reply to Interpellation.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTIN

[To be used only for notes, requisitions,

I am sir
the file
Sent back with the re
wanted, putting up only a c
file from note. It does not b
your initial evidently the official
did not submit it to you. Please
give me back the file
with a definite draft reply to the
interpellation [illegible] only,
with the explanation of the official
for not submitting the file to you

Yours sincerely
[illegible]

B. Ramiah Esq BA.
Supdt. Mar Branch.

[illegible] not be written on small slips the notes must be typed, or slips pasted on this form.

Sirs

Today being a holiday I am at home & am writing this from home. I don't think there are any papers regarding the enhancement of the Punjab salt grant the subject was not dealt with & the recommendation in the minutes vide below

I shall see if there are any such papers ~~to~~ tomorrow & shall send them ~~by~~ if any, to Camp by tomorrow's Govt Messenger. In the meantime a draft reply to (C) & the explanation is noted on the note below

RG
18/07/24

GEN. SEC. 2-JUN 1924 MUZRAI

Dno 2287

Interpellations by Mr.L.H.Chandrasekharaiya in the Legis. Council

Muz. 292-23-10.

I. Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) what cases were made from time to time for the nature and amount of the present grant to Sreeman Niranjana Jagadguru Sri Shivarathri Deshikendra Mahaswamiji and its connected temples at Sirigere and the amount of the present grant?

(b) what causes and considerations led the Government to introduce these changes?

(c) whether there are any papers or petitions made to the Government in that behalf to the said Swamiji to revise the scale of grant to obtain relief and whether any petitions are now pending with the Government with a view to representation?

II. Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) whether the special officer appointed by Government to report with regard to the scale of annual grants to be allotted at first report and if so, when is the report of Government likely to be placed in the matter?

(b) whether the said Report will be published before the grant of the allowances?

(c) if the Report is not to be received, - and the time for the grant is to be passed to obtain the report

"Copy"

R. Ranganna
Secretary, Legislative Council

37199

Memo No. 1694 dated 31-5-24.

Interpellation No 1 forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Govt. with the remark that the same has been admitted

JUN 1924

B.G

R. Ranganna
Secretary, Legislative Council.

Interpellations by Kr.L.H.Jnandrace/narayn.

I. Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) what [illegible] made [illegible] the [illegible] the [illegible] and [illegible] out of the [illegible] by the [illegible] to [illegible] Sreeman Niranjana Jnanguru Swamy [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible] and its [illegible] temples at Vaitaldra and [illegible] the [illegible] amount of the [illegible] amount

(b) [illegible] Swamy [illegible] paid the Government to [illegible] these amounts?

(c) whether it is [illegible] for the Swamy to visit the [illegible] to [illegible] the [illegible] and whether [illegible] the Government with [illegible] of such [illegible]?

1/6 [illegible]

(b) [illegible]

(c) [illegible]

Rs Rel temp

26/-

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No. 292-23.

Serial No. 11.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No. 177

Date of issue _____

To The Muz. Comr.

Signed by _____

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of memo of Subapellakam D. No. 228) proposed for reply at the Legis. Council to be held in June 1924 and to request that you will be so good as Gover. Sends

Your replies to the
interpellations so as to
reach this office before
~~6. morgen~~ the evening of the 6th with the label.

I have etc.

[illegible] 1924.

[illegible] Rev. Secretary

[illegible]
4.6.24

No. C 1319 7 [illegible] Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 7th J u n e 1924.

37769

D. 2305

Mur 292-23-12

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., P.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1773/M.F.292-23-11 dated 4th June 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith the replies to the interpellations D.No.2287 proposed for reply at the Legislative ----- Council to be held in June 1924.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

KSR.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Mugrai Register Sheet No. 115

Taluk Chitaldrug

Hobli 6

Village

General No.	Value of the Inam	Description of Inams. If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed If for buildings, tanks, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up	Tenure. Hereditary, unconditional, for life only, or for two or more lives
1	2	3	4
28.	Rs as ps. 290-4-0. Allowance paid monthly at Rs 24-3-0 from the Chittaldrug Treasury —	Devadayam.— Granted for the support of Muragi Matam and for the performance of puja of 6 small pagodas situated within the Matam building. The institution is said to be in good order and worship performed.	permanent so long the pagoda is in good order.

in the village of | in the

When, and by whom and to whom granted	Name of the party registered in the Standard Muzrai or other recognized accounts
5	6
Granted by the Late Maharajah on four occasions;- 1st. for C. P. 360 in September 1815 to Nirunjana Mruga-Rajendraswamy Mall. 2nd for C. P. 1080 in addition to the first in June 1816 to the same Mall. 3rd for C. P. 36, in addition to the above as a remuneration to the Munshi attached to the Mall for his service in February 1814. 4th for C. P 60 pagodas in addition to the above for worship of temples attached to the Mall and within its Compound.	Judeek patti of 1830-31:- Manjarajiah's Murga Rajendraswamy Mall C. P 100. Sahyada Lavanyama of 1865-1866. Muragi Mall tak. Rs 290-4-0.

Taluk of Chitaldrug

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name place of residence, and age	Caste or tribe, height and complexion	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar
7	8	9

Chitaldrug Taluk

Kurubarahalli

Sreeman Niranjana Murugarajendraswamy's Mall

Manager :- present priest of the Mall

Rs 145-2-0 is for the Matam. Rs 145-2-0 are apportioned for the worship of six pagodas situated within the pale and attached to the Mall

1. Maheswara
2. Chowleswara.
3. Bedar Kanneswara.
4. Gangadhareswara.
5. Gundlu Basaweswara.
6. Moorader Basaweswara

Nos 1 to 4 have each Rs 29-0-0

Nos 5 and 6 have Rs 29-2-0 Equally

GPB—25 books of 2 sheets—I6-5-14

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17

It will be observed from the entries in column 5, that the total value of the grant according to the Late Maharajahs accounts, is C Ps 1536. But this amount suffered a reduction on three different occasions and was gradually fixed at C.P 100 by the Granter himself previous to the formation of the last and final Kasdeek patti of 1830-31 during the Late Maharajah's administration.

Since then the present Stipend has been paid without any interruption. It is reported that the Mall is in good order, and that worship in the six pagodas is also kept up, and I recommend the inam to be confirmed and continued so long as the object of the grant is carried out in the name of the Mall and of its six pagodas.

Sd. B. Gopalaiah
Special Assistant

---

payment of Rs 290-4-0. confirmed subject to the conditions of the grant.

April 7th 1869 (Sd) T. Clerk
Inam-Commissioner

"Copy"

R. Thomson's 6/24.

HH 5/6/24. For, Survey Superintendent.

Replies to the interpellations D.No.2287

(a) No changes were made in the nature and amount of the grant since the year 1869 when inam settlement was introduced.

The present grant is Rs.290-4-0 a year for the support of

1. Maheswara 2. Chowleswara 3. Bedar Kanneswara 4. Gangadhareswara 5. Gundlu Basaweswara 6. Moorader Basweswara.	the matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the matha building.

(b) It is observed from the register of ready money grants that the total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraj Wodeyar Bahadur, III was C.Rs.1536. But this amount suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions and was gradually fixed at C.R.100 by the Grantor himself previous to the -- formation of the last and final tasdik patti of 1830-31 during the late Maharaja's administration.

Since then the present stipend is paid without interruption.

(c) Information on the points is not avilable.

Muzrai Commissioner 7/6/52

Reply of Government
to
Interpellation by Mr.D.V. Chandrasekhariya.

I. (a) The entries in the Inam Settlement Register go to show that the total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur III was C.Rs.1536, that it suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions and was eventually fixed at C.P. 100 by the Grantor himself previous to the preparation of the last and final Pasdik Patti of 1830-31 which was ~~conferred~~ confirmed by the Inam Settlement of 1869 ~~according to which~~ The grant ~~was~~ as the Inam Settlement was fixed at Rs.290-4-0 for the support of the Matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the Matha buildings. No change has been made ~~by the Government~~ in the grant since then.

(c) The address presented by the Swami of the Jurmaraja Jendra Mutt to the Dewan on the occasion of his visit to the Mutt on the 29th August 1922 contained a representation on the subject ~~xxxx

Reply of Government
to
Interpellation by Mr.D.H. Chandrasekharaiya.

I. (a)&(b) The entries in the Inam Settlement Register go to show that the total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III was C.Rs.1536, that it suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions and was eventually fixed at C.P. 100 by the Grantor himself previous to the preparation of the last and final Tashik Patti of 1830-31 which was confirmed by the Inam Settlement of 1869. ~~according to~~ ~~which~~ the grant ~~was~~ fixed on ~~at~~ Rs.290-4-0 for the support of the Matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the Matha building. No change has been made ~~by the Government~~ since then.

(c) The address presented by the Swami of the Murgharajendra Mutt to the Dewan on the occasion of his visit to the Mutt on 29th August 1922 contained a representation on the subject, referring to which the Dewan in his reply to the address stated that he could not make any statement in the matter without looking into the past history of the Mutt and all the old papers No further representation was made by the Muti on in the matter and no action was therefore taken thereon.

Interpellations by Mr. D.H. Chandrasekharaiya

Reply of Government.

I. ~~(a)~~ Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) What changes were made from time to time in the nature and amount of the grant given by the Government to Sreeman Niranjana Jagadguru Murugharajendra Mahasamathan and its connected temples at Chitaldrug and what is the amount of the present grant.

The total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III was C.Ps. 1536, which suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions, being eventually fixed at C.P. 100 by the Grantor himself previous to the ~~formation~~ of the last and ~~fixxxky~~ final Tasdik Patti of 1830-31. ~~Inam Settlement was introduced in 1869, and no changes have been made since. The present grant is Rs. 290-4-0 a year for the support of~~ the Matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the Matha building.

(b) What causes and considerations prompted the Government to introduce ~~these~~ change~~s~~

It is not possible to give reasons for the changes at this distance of time.

(c) Whether there was any representation made to the Dewan Saheb in his visit to the said Samsthan to revise the scale of grant obtaining at present and whether any and what steps have been taken by the Government with reference to this representation.

~~Yes, it was mentioned in the address presented to~~ the Dewan ~~who replied~~ that he could not make any statement in the matter without looking into the past history of the Matt and all the old papers. As there was no promise and as no ~~there was~~ application for enhanced grant, the matter was not pursued further.

****

Interpellations by Mr. D.H. Chandrasekharaiya	Reply of Government.
I. ~~(a)~~ Will the Government be pleased to state:-	
(a) What changes were made from time to time in the nature and amount of the grant given by the Government to Sreeman Niranjana Jagadguru Murugharajendra Mahasamathan and its connected temples at Chitaldrug and what is the amount of the present grant.	The total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III was C.Ps. 1536, which suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions, being eventually fixed at C.P. 100 by the Grantor himself previous to the formation of the last and ~~finally~~ final Tasdik Patti of 1830-31. Inam Settlement was introduced in 1869 and no changes have been made since. The present grant is Rs. 290-4-0 a year for the support of the Matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the Matha building.
(b) What causes and considerations prompted the Government to introduce this changes.	It is not possible to give reasons for the changes at this distance of time.
(c) Whether there was any representation made to the Dewan Saheb in his visit to the said Samsthan to revise the scale of grant obtaining at present and whether any and what steps have been taken by the Government with reference to this representation.	Yes it was mentioned in the address presented to the Dewan who replied that he could not made any statement in the matter without looking into the past history of the Matt and all the old papers. As there was no promise and as there was application for enhanced grant, the matter was not pursued further.

****

Interpellations by Mr. D.H. Chandrasekharaiya	Reply of Government
I. (~~a~~) Will the Government be pleased to state:-	
(a) What changes were made from time to time in the nature and amount of the grant given by the Government to Sreeman Niranjana Jagadguru Murugharajendra Mahasamathan and its connected temples at Chitaldrug and what is the amount of the present grant.	The total value of the grant according to the Sannads of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III was C.Rs. 1556, which suffered a reduction on 3 different occasions, being eventually fixed at C.P. 100 by the Grantor himself previous to the formation of the last and ~~finally~~ final Tasdik Patti of 1830-31. Inam Settlement was introduced in 1869 and no changes have been made since. The present grant is Rs. 290-4-0 a year for the support of the Matha and 6 small pagodas situated within the Matha building.
(b) What causes and considerations prompted the Government to introduce this changes.	It is not possible to give reasons for the changes at this distance of time.
(c) Whether there was any representation made to the Dewan Saheb in his visit to the said Samsthan to revise the scale of grant obtaining at present and whether any and what steps have been taken by the Government with reference to this representation.	Yes it was mentioned in the address presented to the Dewan who replied that he could not made any statement in the matter without looking into the past history of the Matt and all the old papers. As there was no promise and as there was a plication for enhanced grant, the matter was not pursued further.

****